



Nutrients Dynamics and uptake Patterns in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)- Sweet corn (*Zea mays* var. *Saccarata*) Intercropping Systems

P. N. Parmar ^{a*}, H. M. Virdia ^a, Sonal Tripathi ^b, V. P. Patel ^c
and K. J Khatana ^c

^a Department of Agronomy, N.M.C.A, N.A.U, Navsari, Gujarat, India.

^b Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, N.M.C.A, N.A.U, Navsari, Gujarat, India.

^c Regional Rice Research Station, N.A.U, Vyara, Gujarat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95018>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123908>

Original Research Article

Received: 15/07/2024

Accepted: 18/09/2024

Published: 20/09/2024

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in the summer season during 2020-21 and 2021-22 on clayey soil at Regional Rice Research Station, NAU, Vyara (Gujarat), India to evaluate the nutrients dynamics and uptake patterns of groundnut-sweet corn intercropping systems. The experiment comprised of ten treatments which was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The results showed that the productivity of these systems in terms of groundnut pod equivalent yield 3221 kg/ha was significantly higher with intercropping of groundnut + sweet corn (2:1) with

*Corresponding author: E-mail: parmarprakash55@gmail.com;

paired row (30-60-30 cm) (T₁₀) followed by groundnut + sweet corn (3:1) in additive series (3073 kg/ha) (T₈). Maximum N and K balance was recorded in case of treatment T₁₀ (+107.18 and +144.52 kg/ha, respectively) which was followed by groundnut + sweet corn (2:1) in replacement series (T₄) (+71.14 and +108.78 kg/ha, respectively) and (3:1) in additive series (T₈) (+56.35 and +107.44 kg/ha, respectively). Phosphorus balance was negative under all treatments of intercropping system.

Keywords: Available nutrient; uptake and nutrient balance.

1. INTRODUCTION

India has a greater diversity of crops than any other country due to its varied climate, with the two most common cereal-based farming systems being rice-wheat (10.5 m ha) and rice-rice (5.9 m ha) [1]. In Gujarat, Tapi is primarily an agricultural district with paddy and sugarcane as the predominant crops and it has a net sown area (1.59 lakh ha) constitutes about 49% of the total geographical area (3.25 lakh ha) and the net irrigated area (0.67 lakh ha) forms 42% of the net sown area [2]. "Continuous cultivation of rice for a long period with low system productivity and often with poor crop management practices, results in loss of soil fertility due to emergence of multiple nutrient deficiency and deterioration of soil physical properties, and decline in factor productivity and crop yields in high productivity areas" [3]. "During cultivation of rice, soil undergoes drastic changes, i.e., aerobic to anaerobic environment, leading to several physical and electrochemical transformations. If this is the case, then perhaps there is an important role for crop diversification that includes upland crops, such as legumes, to induce sequestration of N" [4].

Groundnut-sweet corn intercropping introduces a sustainable farming model in rice-based systems. By diversifying crop types, improving soil fertility, and optimizing water and nutrient use, this intercropping system reduces the environmental footprint of intensive rice farming. Groundnut, as a nitrogen-fixing legume, plays a critical role in replenishing soil nitrogen levels through its symbiotic relationship with rhizobia bacteria. Intercropping groundnut with sweet corn after rice harvesting helps restore soil fertility, reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers in subsequent cropping seasons. It also promotes the practice of minimal external input farming, supporting the long-term health of the agricultural ecosystem. Keeping this in view, the field study was conducted to assess the effect of groundnut-sweet corn intercropping on soil

available N, P and K, nutrient uptake, nutrient balance and crop yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site and Soil Type

The field experiment was laid out during summer season of the year 2020-21 and 2021-22 at the Regional Rice Research Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Vyara, Tapi district of Gujarat. The soil of the experimental area falls in the order Inceptisols comprising members of fine, montmorillonitic, isohyperthermic family of Vertic Ustrochrepts soil series.

2.2 Treatment Details

There were ten intensified intercropping systems examined under randomized block design with three replications viz., sole groundnut (T₁); sole sweet corn (T₂); groundnut + sweet corn in 1:1 (T₃), 2:1 (T₄) and 3:1 (T₅) row ratio in replacement series; groundnut + sweet corn in 1:1 (T₆), 2:1 (T₇) and 3:1 (T₈) row ratio in additive series; mix sowing of groundnut 80% + sweet corn 20% (T₉) and groundnut + sweet corn (2:1) with paired row (30-60-30 cm) (T₁₀). During summer season both the crops were applied with the recommended dose of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O (Kg/ha). The source of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) were urea, di-ammonium phosphate and muriate of potash.

2.3 Analysis of Soil and Plant Samples

A composite soil sample was collected from 0-15 cm depth of the experimental field before commencement of the experiment for analysis. Following the crop harvest, soil samples were collected from each plot in order to study chemical changes brought about by intercropping cropping. The soil collected was then air dried, grounded and sieved through 2 mm sieve, labelled and stored for further analysis. The organic carbon was estimated by

Walkley and Black method [5], available N by Alkaline potassium permanganate [6], available P by Olsen's [7] and available K by flame photometric method [5]. Plant samples were also analysed for N content using modified Kjeldahl method [5], P content using venedomolybdo phosphoric yellow colour method using spectrophotometer at 470 nm [5] and K content was extracted by normal neutral ammonium acetate (1:40) and then determined by flame photometer method [5]. Analysis of soil sample collected before sowing for various physico-chemical properties have shown that soil was having clayey in texture (in both the years), medium in organic carbon (0.75 and 0.74%), neutral in reaction with pH (7.26 and 7.55) and EC (0.31 and 0.32 dS/m). The soil was medium in available nitrogen (296.3 and 307.1 kg/ha), available phosphorus (32.3 and 34.3 kg/ha) and available potash (289.3 and 293.4 kg/ha) in 2020-21 and 2021-22, respectively.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The data were subjected to statistical analysis by adopting analysis of variance. Wherever the F values found significant at 5% level of probability, the critical difference (CD) values were computed for making comparison among

the treatment means as described by Panse and Sukhatme [8].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Groundnut Pod Equivalent Yield

The data on groundnut pod equivalent yield are presented in Table 1. A close study of the data revealed that the mix/intercropping systems did exert their significant effect on groundnut pod equivalent yield in both the years as well as in pooled results.

Significantly the maximum groundnut pod equivalent yield (3108, 3333 and 3221 kg/ha) was produced under intercropping of groundnut + sweet corn with paired row (30-60-30 cm) (2:1) (T₁₀) during both the years and in pooled results, respectively, which stayed statistically at par with intercropping of groundnut + sweet corn (3:1) in additive series (T₈) during both the years and in pooled results. However, significantly the minimum groundnut pod equivalent yield (1161, 1262 and 1212 kg/ha) was produced under groundnut + sweet corn (1:1) in additive series (T₆) intercropping system during both the years and in pooled results, respectively.

Table 1. Groundnut pod equivalent yield under different treatments

Treatment	Groundnut pod equivalent yield (kg/ha)		
	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
T ₁	1755	1907	1831
T ₂	1606	1603	1605
T ₃	1787	1878	1832
T ₄	2507	2834	2670
T ₅	2084	2277	2181
T ₆	1161	1262	1212
T ₇	2195	2528	2362
T ₈	2986	3159	3073
T ₉	1791	2081	1936
T ₁₀	3108	3333	3221
S.Em.±	87.53	95.20	64.66
C.D.	260	283	185
C.V. %	7.23	7.21	7.22
Year			
S.Em.±			24.38
C.D.			70
Y x T			
S.Em.±			91.44
C.D.			NS

3.2 Effect on Available NPK Status

A perusal of data indicated that different mix/intercropping systems exhibited their significant influence on post-harvest available nitrogen and potash in soil in pooled results (Table 2). Significantly the maximum value of post-harvest available nitrogen and potash in soil was noted under the sole groundnut and it followed the treatments T₃, T₄, T₅, T₇, T₈, T₉ and T₁₀ (except treatment T₃, T₇ and T₉ in available potash) in pooled results. In contrast, significantly the minimum value of post-harvest available nitrogen and potassium in soil were registered under the sole sweet corn in pooled results. "This might be due to legumes help in improving the soil fertility via biological nitrogen fixation and reduce the competition for available N in soil due to the more competitive character of the cereal" [9] and thus contribute to the complementary and efficient use of available N [10].

Nutrients status in soil viz., available phosphorus was not significantly influenced by different mix/intercropping systems (Table 2), but decreased under mix/intercropping systems over sole groundnut. This might be due to RDF and initial status of nutrients might have not supplied sufficient P under intercropping condition.

3.3 Effect on the Uptake of Nutrients

The mix/intercropping systems showed significant influence on total uptake of N, P and K by mix/intercropping system during 2020-21, 2021-22 and in pooled results (Table 3).

3.3.1 Nitrogen

The treatment T₁₀ [Groundnut+ sweet corn (2:1) with paired row (30-60-30 cm)] had significantly the highest total uptake of N by mix/intercropping system (168.51, 168.04 and 168.27 kg/ha) during both the years and in pooled results, respectively. Hence, it may play an important role in promoting nitrogen uptake and transport in the intercropping of groundnut and sweet corn. This might be due significantly highest pod/cob & haulm/fodder yield in these intercropping system and *Bradyrhizobium* promotes nodulation and nitrogen fixation in groundnut during intercropping [11,12,13]. Whereas, significantly the lowest value of total uptake of N by mix/intercropping system (68.61, 73.09 and 70.85 kg/ha) was recorded under the sole

groundnut during the year of 2020-21, 2021-22 and in pooled results, respectively (Table 3).

3.3.2 Phosphorus

Significantly the highest total uptake of P by mix/intercropping system (44.95, 46.67 and 45.81 kg/ha) was recorded under the sole sweet corn (T₂) during both the years and in pooled results, respectively (Table 3). This might be due to higher plant density under sole sweet corn as compared to other mix/intercropping systems results in more crop yield and total phosphorus uptake by the produce [14,15,16]. However, significantly the lowest total uptake of P by mix/intercropping system (8.92, 9.89 and 9.40 kg/ha) was noted under the sole groundnut (T₁) during 2020-21, 2021-22 and in pooled results, respectively.

3.3.3 Potassium

Sole sweet corn (T₂) was significantly recorded the maximum value of total uptake of K by mix/intercropping system (126.32, 137.81 and 132.07 kg/ha) during both the years and in pooled results, respectively (Table 3). This might be due to higher plant density under sole sweet corn as compared to other mix/intercropping systems results in more crop yield and total potassium uptake by the produce [14,15,16]. While, treatment T₆ [Groundnut + sweet corn (1:1) in additive series] was at par with treatment T₂ during the years 2020-21 and 2021-22. Significantly the lowest uptake of K by mix/intercropping system (42.64, 46.87 and 44.76 kg/ha) was registered under treatment T₁ (Sole groundnut) during the year 2020-21, 2021-22 and in pooled results, respectively [17].

3.4 Balance Sheet of Nutrients

3.4.1 Nitrogen

Groundnut+ sweet corn (2:1) with paired row (30-60-30 cm) (T₁₀) shown an increase of 107.18 kg/ha which might be due to the intercropping of leguminous crop i.e. groundnut with the sweet corn (Table 4). The highest loss of available nitrogen to the tune of 43.47 kg/ha was recorded under intercropping of groundnut+ sweet corn (1:1) in additive series (T₆) which was followed by sole sweet corn (T₂). Maximum removal of nitrogen may be attributed to greater production of biomass. Higher amounts of nitrogen fertilizer doses are to expect from the intercropping system which have net loss in the available nitrogen.

Table 2. Effect of different mix/intercropping systems on post-harvest soil available nitrogen, phosphorus and potash

Treatment	Available nitrogen (kg/ha)			Available phosphorus (kg/ha)			Available potash (kg/ha)		
	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
T ₁	290.91	294.03	292.47	40.65	38.99	39.82	348.84	344.84	346.84
T ₂	241.37	243.40	242.38	30.59	31.26	30.93	244.75	238.03	241.39
T ₃	270.99	274.20	272.60	36.86	35.81	36.34	280.94	273.93	277.44
T ₄	284.06	286.90	285.48	37.23	38.17	37.70	317.07	326.83	321.95
T ₅	285.45	288.60	287.02	37.85	38.09	37.97	345.07	333.03	339.05
T ₆	265.08	270.67	267.87	36.50	37.87	37.19	281.75	285.27	283.51
T ₇	278.99	282.20	280.60	34.61	36.70	35.66	290.10	301.43	295.77
T ₈	282.24	289.00	285.62	34.47	33.54	34.00	338.18	339.83	339.01
T ₉	276.81	279.73	278.27	31.22	32.53	31.88	299.56	298.17	298.86
T ₁₀	288.41	292.80	290.61	38.49	37.39	37.94	335.41	340.80	338.10
SEm±	9.32	12.31	7.72	2.52	2.06	1.63	22.93	22.82	16.18
CD at 5%	27.70	NS	22.15	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	46.40
CV (%)	5.84	7.61	6.80	12.16	9.89	11.08	12.89	12.83	12.86
Year									
SEm±			0.32			0.29			1.71
CD at 5%			NS			NS			NS
Y x T									
SEm±			10.92			2.30			22.88
CD at 5%			NS			NS			NS

Table 3. Total uptake of NPK by mix/intercropping systems as influenced by groundnut based mix/intercropping systems

Treatment	Total N uptake (kg/ha)			Total P uptake (kg/ha)			Total K uptake (kg/ha)		
	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
T ₁	68.61	73.09	70.85	8.92	9.89	9.40	42.64	46.87	44.76
T ₂	151.23	154.45	152.84	44.95	46.67	45.81	126.32	137.81	132.07
T ₃	118.77	118.12	118.45	35.96	36.51	36.23	91.68	98.01	94.84
T ₄	131.37	143.34	137.36	33.80	39.11	36.46	86.00	103.68	94.84
T ₅	103.64	115.74	109.69	24.66	26.93	25.79	63.50	73.60	68.55
T ₆	115.83	114.88	115.36	31.67	31.86	31.77	119.57	125.67	122.62
T ₇	132.64	137.43	135.03	34.69	38.66	36.68	86.78	99.28	93.03
T ₈	125.45	129.42	127.43	28.67	30.17	29.42	70.51	79.06	74.78
T ₉	82.98	87.41	85.20	16.51	17.51	17.01	44.07	48.80	46.43
T ₁₀	168.51	168.04	168.27	40.20	40.44	40.32	114.16	114.71	114.43
SEm±	4.74	4.20	3.17	1.51	1.91	1.22	3.84	4.84	3.09
CD at 5%	14.08	12.48	9.08	4.49	5.68	3.49	11.40	14.37	8.86
CV (%)	6.84	5.86	6.35	8.72	10.42	9.66	7.87	9.03	8.53
Year									
SEm±			1.04			0.37			1.10
CD at 5%			2.99			1.07			3.15
Y x T									
SEm±			4.48			1.72			4.37
CD at 5%			NS			NS			NS

Table 4. Balance sheet of available nitrogen in soil

Treatments	Amount added	Initial	Total	Crop uptake	Expected balance	Actual balance	Net gain/loss
T ₁	25.00	301.70	326.70	70.85	255.85	292.47	36.62
T ₂	100.00	301.70	401.70	152.84	248.86	242.38	-6.48
T ₃	62.50	301.70	364.20	118.45	245.75	272.60	26.85
T ₄	50.00	301.70	351.70	137.36	214.34	285.48	71.14
T ₅	43.75	301.70	345.45	109.69	235.76	287.02	51.26
T ₆	125.00	301.70	426.70	115.36	311.34	267.87	-43.47
T ₇	75.00	301.70	376.70	135.03	241.67	280.60	38.93
T ₈	55.00	301.70	356.70	127.43	229.27	285.62	56.35
T ₉	40.00	301.70	341.70	85.20	256.50	278.27	21.77
T ₁₀	50.00	301.70	351.70	168.27	183.43	290.61	107.18

Table 5. Balance sheet of available phosphorus in soil

Treatments	Amount added	Initial	Total	Crop uptake	Expected balance	Actual balance	Net gain/loss
T ₁	50.00	33.30	83.30	9.40	73.90	39.82	-34.08
T ₂	50.00	33.30	83.30	45.81	37.49	30.93	-6.56
T ₃	50.00	33.30	83.30	36.23	47.07	36.34	-10.73
T ₄	50.00	33.30	83.30	36.46	46.84	37.70	-9.14
T ₅	50.00	33.30	83.30	25.79	57.51	37.97	-19.54
T ₆	100.00	33.30	133.30	31.77	101.53	37.19	-64.34
T ₇	75.00	33.30	108.30	36.68	71.62	35.66	-35.96
T ₈	65.00	33.30	98.30	29.42	68.88	34.00	-34.88
T ₉	50.00	33.30	83.30	17.01	66.29	31.88	-34.41
T ₁₀	50.00	33.30	83.30	40.32	42.98	37.94	-5.04

Table 6. Balance sheet of available potassium in soil

Treatments	Amount added	Initial	Total	Crop uptake	Expected balance	Actual balance	Net gain/loss
T ₁	0.00	291.35	291.35	44.76	246.59	346.84	100.25
T ₂	50.00	291.35	341.35	132.07	209.28	241.39	32.11
T ₃	25.00	291.35	316.35	94.84	221.51	277.44	55.93
T ₄	16.67	291.35	308.02	94.84	213.18	321.95	108.78
T ₅	12.50	291.35	303.85	68.55	235.30	339.05	103.75
T ₆	50.00	291.35	341.35	122.62	218.73	283.51	64.78
T ₇	25.00	291.35	316.35	93.03	223.32	295.77	72.45
T ₈	15.00	291.35	306.35	74.78	231.57	339.01	107.44
T ₉	10.00	291.35	301.35	46.43	254.92	298.86	43.94
T ₁₀	16.67	291.35	308.02	114.43	193.59	338.10	144.52

3.4.2 Phosphorus

There was a net loss of available phosphorus in all of the intercropping cropping treatments (Table 5). The highest loss of available phosphorus amounting to 64.34 kg/ha was recorded with intercropping of groundnut + sweet corn (1:1) in additive series (T₆) followed by 35.96 kg/ha under (2:1) in additive series (T₇) and (3:1) in additive series (T₈) (34.88 kg/ha). Besides the lowest decline was recorded under groundnut+ sweet corn (2:1) with paired row (30-60-30 cm) (T₁₀) (5.04 kg/ha) followed by sole sweet corn (T₂) (6.56 kg/ha) which might be due to the higher crop density and uptake in these intercropping systems.

3.4.3 Potassium

There was a net gain of available potassium in all treatments of the groundnut-sweet corn intercropping system (Table 6). The maximum gain of 144.52 kg/ha was recorded under groundnut+ sweet corn (2:1) with paired row (30-60-30 cm) (T₁₀) followed by intercropping of groundnut + sweet corn (2:1) in replacement series (T₄) (108.78 kg/ha) and groundnut + sweet corn (3:1) in additive series (T₈) (107.44 kg/ha) which might be due to the higher biomass production and uptake in these intercropping systems.

4. CONCLUSION

The intercropping of groundnut+ sweet corn (2:1) with paired row (30-60-30 cm) followed by groundnut+ sweet corn (2:1) in replacement series and (3:1) in additive series often leads to higher overall productivity compared to sole cropping, as these intercropping systems improves nutrient cycling in the soil, reducing nutrient losses and enhancing the availability of nutrients like nitrogen and potassium. This is especially beneficial for maintaining long-term soil fertility. This results in better yields without depleting soil fertility.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kumar R, Bal Krishna, Kumar S. Alternate cropping systems for food and nutritional security in eastern India. *Indian Farming*. 2023;73(8):16-19.
2. Anonymous, Potential-linked credit plan for district Tapi (Gujarat). National Bank for Agriculture and rural development, Gujarat Regional Office, Ahmedabad. 2023-24;15. Available:<https://doi.org/www.nabard.org>
3. Yadav A, Chauhan SVS. Studies on allelopathic effect of some weeds. *Journal of Phytological Research*. 1998;11(1):15-18.
4. Cruscio CA, Portugal JR, Bossolani JW, Moretti LG, Fernandes AM, Moreira A, Garcia JL, Garcia GL, Pilon C, Cantarella H. Dynamics of micronutrient uptake and removal by three modern runner peanut cultivars. *Crops*. 2023 Apr 11;3(2):101-15.
5. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Printice Hall India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1974;327-350.
6. Subbiah BV, Asija GC. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*. 1956;25(1):259-260.
7. Chopra SL, Kanwar JS. Analytical agricultural chemistry. Kalyani Publisher, New Delhi; 1976.
8. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 1985;97-123.
9. Layek J, Anup D, Ramkrushna GI, Venkatesh A, Verma BC, Roy A, Panwar AS, Ngachan SV. Improving productivity of jhum rice through agronomic management practices. In Book of abstracts. National seminar on shifting cultivation (jhum) in 21st century: fitness and improvement. 28–29 November, 2014, at CPGS, CAU, Umiam, Meghalaya. 2014;65.
10. Bedoussac L, Justes E. Dynamic analysis of competition and complementarity for light and N use to understand the yield and the protein content of a durum wheat–winter pea intercrop. *Plant Soil*. 2010;330:37–54.
11. Solanki MK, Wang FY, Li CN, Wang Z, Lan TJ, Singh RK, Singh P, Yang LT, Yang RL. Impact of sugarcane–legume intercropping on Diazotrophic microbiome. *Sugar Tech*. 2019;22:52–64. DOI: 10.1007/s12355-019-00755-4

12. Pang Z, Fallah N, Weng P, Zhou Y, Tang X, Tayyab M, Liu Y, Liu Q, Xiao Y, Hu C, Kan Y, Lin W, Yuan Z. Sugarcane-Peanut intercropping system enhances bacteria abundance, diversity and sugarcane parameters in Rhizospheric and bulk soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2021;12:815129. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.815129
13. Chen P, He W, Shen Y, Zhu L, Yao X, Sun R, Dai C, Sun B, Chen Y. Interspecific neighbor stimulates peanut growth through modulating root endophytic microbial community construction. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2022;13:830666. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2022.830666
14. Mandal MK, Bannerjee M, Bannerjee H, Alipatra A, Malik GC. Productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.) based intercropping system during kharif season under Red and Lateritic tract of West Bengal. *The Bioscan*. 2014a ;9(1):31-35.
15. Devi MT, Singh VK. Nutrient content and uptake of field pea and baby corn intercrops as affected by weed management and planting patterns. *Annals of Agricultural Research*. 2016;37(1):9-19.
16. Palmo T, Singh L, Masood A, Saad AA, Kanth RH, Saxena A, Chesti MH, Mir AH, Wani FJ. Nutrient uptake of maize as influenced by intercropping with different genotype of groundnut under temperate Kashmir Valley. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*. 2024;27(4):171-176.
17. Crusciol CA, Portugal JR, Bossolani JW, Moretti LG, Fernandes AM, Garcia JL, Garcia GL, Pilon C, Cantarella H. Dynamics of macronutrient uptake and removal by modern peanut cultivars. *Plants*. 2021 Oct 13;10(10):2167.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123908>