

Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology

Volume 45, Issue 14, Page 87-93, 2024; Article no.UPJOZ.3617 ISSN: 0256-971X (P)

Susceptibility of Different Developmental Stages of the Tobacco Cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* **(Fabricius) to Entomopathogenic Nematode,** *Steinernema abbasi* **PN-1**

Vireesha, P. a*, Pandey, R. ^a , Shashikala, M ^a and Mehra, N. ^a

^a Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand-263145, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i144181](https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i144181)

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3617>

Original Research Article

Received: 11/04/2024 Accepted: 15/06/2024 Published: 24/06/2024

ABSTRACT

The tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius is a widely distributed polyphagous pest that is causing economic damage to different crop plants. Many pesticides have been used extensively to control *S. litura*, but they are currently ineffective because of the development of pesticide resistance and their detrimental effects on the environment and human health. Although entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) have been employed as biological control agents against *S. litura*, little is known about the pathogenicity of these EPNs. Here we studied the virulence of *Steinernema abbasi* PN-1 against different stages of *S. litura*. The results reveled that all tested

Cite as: P., Vireesha, Pandey, R., Shashikala, M, and Mehra, N. 2024. "Susceptibility of Different Developmental Stages of the Tobacco Cutworm, Spodoptera Litura (Fabricius) to Entomopathogenic Nematode, Steinernema Abbasi PN-1". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 45 (14):87-93. https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i144181.

^{}Corresponding author: Email: veerubabbur16@gmail.com;*

larval stages and pupae of *S. litura* were found susceptible to *S. abbasi.* There was a positive correlation between insect mortality and the nematode concentration. The *S. abbasi* caused 100 per cent larval mortality at 48-60 h of post treatment in all tested doses in laboratory. There was a positive correlation between insect mortality and the nematode concentration while the time to cause complete mortality was negatively correlated with the increase in larval days and nematode concentration. However, further field studies are required use *S. abbasi* as efficient biological control agents against *S. litura*.

Keywords: Steinernema abbasi PN-1; Spodoptera litura; Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs); pathogenicity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Spodoptera litura (Fabricius), the tobacco cutworm, is a defoliating, chewing pest that is distributed around the world and feeds on over a hundred host plants [1]. All year long, *S. litura* causes significant economic loss by damaging broad leaf plants including legumes, brassicas, and other economically significant crops [2]. First- to second-instar larvae gather in the rear of the leaf, where they consume the mesophyll and leave behind the vein pattern of the leaf on the plant. As they grow, caterpillars eat entire leaves, as well as fruits and flowers, causing serious harm [3]. The larva is death feigning and stays away from light. When the larvae reach the third instar, they move out to feed at night and hide beneath the ground during the day. Pupation occurs in the soil close to the plant base [4]. The larvae of *S. litura* older than the third instar exhibit a strong tolerance to a wide range of chemical pesticides [5]. Chemical pesticide usage in excess leads to major environmental and resistant issues. Because of pesticide resistance, *S. litura* outbreaks have become more frequent in Asian locations in recent years [6]. It is necessary to take specific action to resolve these resistance problems. Thus, it is imperative to look for novel biological methods of controlling this pest.

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) are biological agents that can be used for controlling various pest species, including *S. litura* [7]. Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae are two EPN families that are widely spread in a variety of soil types [8]. EPNs kill insect hosts due to mutualistic bacteria present in nematode gut. Infective juveniles (IJs) pierce the host and then enter the hemocoel, releasing symbiotic bacteria that proliferate and ultimately kill the host due to toxemia or septicemia. After 7–14 days of infection, nematodes moult and finish their life cycle inside the host, releasing new IJs [9]. To

increase the effectiveness of pest control in integrated pest management, EPNs can be used with various chemical and biopesticides [10,11]. Additionally, the EPNs are linked to pathogenicity in a variety of host species. Moreover, the developmental stage of the host insect species affects the effectiveness of Entomopathogenic nematodes in pest management. EPNs have the potential to act as biological control agents against a variety of lepidopteran pests, including *S. litura*, according to earlier research [12-17]. Few research, meanwhile, have examined the effectiveness of EPNs in controlling *S. litura* at its various stages of growth. In the present study we evaluated the effect of *S. abbasi* PN-1 against different developmental stages of *S. litura*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Insect and Nematode Culture

The egg masses of *S. litura* were collected from castor and guava trees in CRC, Pantnagar, Uttarkhand. After hatching of the egg young larvae were provided with fresh and wellsterilized soft castor leaves in the rearing boxes. The rearing boxes are cleaned and sterilized daily and provided with fresh leaves. A running culture of *S. litura* was maintained and used for experiments in the laboratory. The different larval stages and pupae of approximately the same size and weight were used in the bioassay study.

The EPN *S. abbasi* PN-1 was collected from the Department of Entomology, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttrakhand. The EPN was cultured on late instar larvae of the greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella* Linnaeus [18]**.** The 2 days old Fresh IJs solution was used for all the experiments.

2.2 Effect of *S. litura* **Larval Developmental Stage and Exposure Time on** *S. abbasi* **PN-1 Virulence**

Larval bioassays were carried out in Petri plates $(50 \times 10 \text{ mm})$, which are lined with filter paper. A single larva was released into each plate and ten plates are maintained for each treatment*.* The virulence of *S. abbasi* PN-1 to larvae of *S. litura* was determined by pipetting 500 µl of distilled water containing 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 IJs onto the filter paper of each plate. Control treatments were treated with 500 µl of distilled water alone. Then plates were placed in incubator at 27 ± 2°C (Acharya *et al*. 2020a). The treatments were replicated three times. Larval mortality was assessed at 24, 36, 48 and 60 HAT. In order to verify nematode infection, the dead larvae were put on white traps.

Pupal bioassay was conducted by using 200 ml plastic boxes and the boxes were filled with 150 cm³ of sterilized soil. A single two-day-old pupa was released into each container. The virulence of *S. abbasi* to *S. litura* pupae were determined by adding 50, 100, 200, 400, 600 and 800 IJs/3ml onto the soil surface of each box. Control was treated with 3 ml of distilled water without EPN. Then boxes were placed in the dark at room temperature (25 \pm 2°C). The treatments were replicated 10 times and the experiment was repeated thrice. Pupal mortality was recorded at 12 days after treatment. The dead pupa was kept in a white trap to confirm the death by nematodes.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

The mortality data of *S. litura* were transformed into mean per cent mortality and a one-way analysis of variance was used for statistical analysis of these data. The median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) and median lethal time (LT₅₀) were estimated using probit analysis [19]. Abbott's formula was used for calculating corrected mortality [20]. By dividing the lowest LD₉₅ by the LD₉₅ for each instar or stage and multiplying the result by 100, relative toxicity (RT) was determined [21].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mortality of 5, 8 and 11 days old larvae and pupae (Table 1) of *S. litura* were recorded in all the concentrations of *S. abbasi* PN-1 and a positive correlation between the concentration of IJs and the insect mortality was observed.

At 24 hours after treatment the mean per cent mortality rate of *S. litura* larvae exposed to d ifferent concentrations was different. The maximum mortality of 33.3 per cent was recorded at 400 IJs/larvae in 11 days old larvae. In 25IJs, 50IJs/larvae and control treatments no mortality was recorded. At 36 hours after treatment the mean per cent mortality rate of *S. litura* larvae exposed to different concentrations was significantly different. The maximum mortality of 100 per cent was recorded at 400 IJs/larvae in 11 days old larvae. The least mortality of 6.6 per cent was recorded at 25 IJs/larvae in 5 days old larvae. At 48 hours after treatment the mean per cent mortality rate of *S. litura* larvae exposed to different concentrations was significantly different. The maximum mortality of 100 per cent was recorded in 8 and 11 days old larvae at all the concentrations. The least mortality of 33.3 per cent of 5 days old larvae was recorded at 25 IJs/larvae.

The mean per cent mortality of pupae at different concentrations was significantly different (F $=54.46$; P = 0.00). The maximum mortality of 63.3 per cent was recorded at 800 IJs/3ml conc. which was significantly higher than all other treatments followed by 600, 400, 200, 100 and 50 IJs/3ml conc. where 63.3, 53.3, 43.3, 36.6, 30 and 23.3 per cent mortality was recorded respectively while 3.3 per cent mortality was observed in control.

The median lethal concentrations (LC₅₀) of *S*. *abbasi* PN-1 against various stages of *S. litura* is presented in Table 2. The range of the LC_{50} value was 56.38 to 450 IJs/larvae. With an LC_{50} value of 56.38 IJs/larvae, the fourth instar larvae were very vulnerable, and the pupae, with an LC_{50} value of 450.11 IJs/pupae, were the least susceptible. There was a drop in LC_{50} as the larval instars developed. There was a range of relative toxicity from 2.83 to 100 per cent. The fourth instar, third instar, second instar, and pupae had relative toxicity values of 100, 92.78, 32.04, and 2.83 per cent, respectively.

Table 3 displays the median lethal time (LT_{50}) of *S. abbasi* PN-1 against various stages of *S. litura*. The LT₅₀ of *S. abbasi* PN-1 against 2nd instars of *S. litura* were to be 34.73 h at 400 IJs/larva, 40.56 h at 200 IJs/larva, 45.1 h at 100 IJs/larva, 48 h at 50 IJs/larva and 53.89 h at 25 IJs/larva. The LT₅₀ of *S. abbasi* PN-1 against 3rd

| Treatment | (IJs/500µI) | T1:25 | T2:50 | T3:100 | T4:200 | T5:400 | T6: Control | F value | P value |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Mean per cent Mortality of 5 | 24HAT | 0^a | 0a | 3.3 ^{ab} | 10^{bc} | 16.6 ^{bc} | 0^a | 12.9 | 0 |
| days larvae | 36HAT | 6.6 ^a | 13.3 ^{abc} | 16.6 ^{bc} | 26.6 ^{bc} | 43.3 ^d | 0^a | 25.7 | 0 |
| | 48HAT | 33.3 ^b | 50 ^c | 63.3 ^d | 73.3 ^d | 86.6 ^e | 0^a | 130.6 | 0 |
| | 60HAT | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | $0^{\rm a}$ | | |
| Mean per cent Mortality of 8 | 24HAT | 0ª | 0 ^a | 6.6 ^{ab} | 16.6 ^{bc} | 23.3 ^c | 0^a | 18.1 | |
| days larvae | 36HAT | 26.6 ^b | 36.6 ^{bc} | 46.6 ^c | 63.3 ^d | 86.6 ^e | 0^a | 96.9 | 0 |
| | 48HAT | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 0^a | ۰ | |
| Mean per cent Mortality of | 24HAT | 0^a | 0a | 16.6 ^b | 26.6 ^{bc} | 33.3 ^c | 0^a | 40.3 | |
| 11 days larvae | 36HAT | 36.6 ^b | 43.3 ^b | 60 ^c | 76.6 ^d | 100 ^e | 0a | 215.3 | 0 |
| | 48HAT | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 100 ^b | 0^a | | |
| Treatment: (IJs/3ml) | T1:50 | T2:100 | T3:200 | T4:400 | T5:600 | T6:800 | T7: Control | F value | P value |
| Mean per cent mortality of | 23.33 ^b | 30 _{pc} | 36.66 bc | 43.3cd | 53.33^{de} | 63.33 ^e | $0^{\rm a}$ | 54.46 | 0 |
| pupae | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1. Per cent mortality of *S. litura* **larvae and pupae at different concentrations of** *S. abbasi* **PN-1**

Mean followed by the same letters in the column do not differ by Tukey's test (p<0.05); HAT= Hours after treatments

Table 2. Dose-mortality response of *Steinernema abbasi* **PN-1 against different stages of** *S. litura.*

CI: confidence interval; Relative toxicity (RT) was calculated by dividing the lowest LD $_{95}$ *by the LD* $_{95}$ *for each instar and multiplying by 100.*

| Larval stage | No of IJs | LT_{50} (h) | 95% CI | R^2 | SD |
|----------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-------|-----------|
| | | | (Lower-Upper) | | |
| 5 days larvae | 25 | 53.89 | 45.11-64.38 | | 0.11 |
| | 50 | 48 | 41.1-56.05 | | 0.11 |
| | 100 | 45.1 | 37.8-53.82 | 0.95 | 0.14 |
| | 200 | 40.56 | 33.57-48.99 | 0.92 | 0.16 |
| | 400 | 34.73 | 29.23-41.28 | 0.94 | 0.14 |
| 8 days larvae | 25 | 38.72 | 35.56-42.17 | | 0.05 |
| | 50 | 35.41 | 31.43-39.89 | 0.95 | 0.08 |
| | 100 | 34.06 | 30.01-38.66 | 0.96 | 0.09 |
| | 200 | 32.39 | 27.55-38.09 | | 0.13 |
| | 400 | 28.18 | 24.55-32.34 | | 0.09 |
| 11 days larvae | 25 | 37.66 | 34.33-41.31 | | 0.05 |
| | 50 | 36.88 | 33.42-40.7 | | 0.06 |
| | 100 | 33.09 | 27.93-39.22 | | 0.14 |
| | 200 | 28.93 | 24.56-34.09 | 0.97 | 0.13 |
| | 400 | 25.92 | 22.84-29.42 | | 0.07 |

Table 3. Comparison of median lethal times (LT50) for *Steinernema abbasi* **PN-1 against the various developmental stages of** *S. litura* **larvae**

CI: confidence interval

instars of *S. litura* were to be 28.18 h at 400 IJs/larva, 32.39 h at 200 IJs/larva, 34.06 h at 100 IJs/larva, 35.41 h at 50 IJs/larva and 38.72 h at 25 IJs/larva. The LT₅₀ of *S. abbasi* PN-1 against 4 th instars of *S. litura* were to be 25.92 h at 400 IJs/larva, 28.93 h at 200 IJs/larva, 33.09 h at 100 IJs/larva, 36.88 h at 50 IJs/larva and 37.66 h at 25 IJs/larva. It was discovered that the LT_{50} was negatively linked with the concentration of infective juveniles (IJs) and that the higher concentration of infective juveniles required less time to cause 50% mortality of all studied larval instars of *S. litura*. At various doses, fourth-instar larvae showed lower LT₅₀ values than secondand third-instar larvae. There was a positive correlation between insect mortality and the nematode concentration while the time to cause complete mortality was negatively correlated with the increase in larval days and nematode concentration.

3.1 Discussion (No Need to Explain Separate Discussion Section)

The *S. abbasi* was evaluated against different *S. litura* larval instar at different densities using bioassay method. The data showed that *S. litura* larval mortality was affected by nematode density, as well as larval development stage.

The findings of the virulence of *S. abbasi* PN-1 against larvae and pupae of *S. litura* were similar to studies conducted by Yan [22] as they reported that *S. arenarium, Steinernema* sp. 24-3

were more virulent against third and fourth-instar larvae of *S. litura* than against second-instar larvae. Differences in susceptibility of different development stages to EPN are common. Banu [23] tested the virulence of *H. indica* and *S. glaseri* against different stages of *H. armigera* and concluded that the pupal stage was the least susceptible compared to the larval stage. The pupa was least susceptible because of fewer natural openings, only spiracles are the way for entry to EPNs. Kondo [24] reported that the infectivity of *S. carpocapase* was greatly affected by pupal sclerotization of *S. litura*.

Many studies reported that lepidopteran pupae are also susceptible to EPNs, like Acharya [25] tested the virulence of seven EPNs against larval and pupal stages of *S. frugiperda* and reported that in pot and soil column assays *S*. *carpocapsae*,*H*. *indica* and *S*. *longicaudum* we re more virulent on late larval and pupal stages in contrast with the other EPN species. Henneberry [26] tested the pathogenicity of *S. riobravis* and *S. carpocapsae* against larvae and pupae of *P. gossypiella* and reported that the pupal stage is also more effective to treat in contrast with the larval stage. To validate the present results, the control efficacy of *S. abbasi* against *S. litura* should be evaluated in a field study.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, All the tested concentrations of the *S. abbasi* PN-1 were found effective to kill the different day's old larvae and pupae of *S. litura* at different periods. There was a positive correlation between insect mortality and the nematode concentration while the time to cause complete mortality was negatively correlated with the increase in larval days and nematode concentration. The older larvae of *S. litura* were more susceptible than younger larvae but the larval stage is more susceptible than the pupal stage. The *S. abbasi* PN-1 could potentially be used as biological control agent to sustainably manage the overlapping generations of *S. litura* in the environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am thanks full to Department of Entomology and Department of Nematology, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttrakhand for providing the facility for the present study.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ahmad M, Mehmood R. Monitoring of resistance to new chemistry insecticides in *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Pakistan. Journal of Economic Entomology. 2015;108(3):1279- 88.
- 2. Taludker S, Khan M, Ferdous J, Faruq M. Integrated management of tobacco caterpillar and cabbage butterfly with host plant resistance and organic amendments. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2018;43(4):619-630.
- 3. Xue M, Pang YH, Wang HT, Li QL, Liu TX. Effects of four host plants on biology and food utilization of the cutworm, *Spodoptera litura*. Journal of Insect Science. 2010;10 (1):22.
- 4. Sharma S, Upadhayaya S, Tiwari S. Biology and integrated management of tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* Fab.

A systematic review. Journal of Agriculture and Applied Biology. 2022;3(1):28-39.

- 5. Yan X, Chen G, Chen Y, Sun B, Gu X, Ruan W, IIan R. Virulence of *Steinernema ceratophorum* against different pest insects and their potential for *in vivo* and *in vitro* culture. Journal of Nematology. 2020;53 $(2):2-9.$
- 6. Kranthi KR, Jadhav DR, Kranthi R, Wanjari R, Ali SS, Russell DA. Insecticide resistance in five major insect pests of cotton in India. Crop Protection. 2002;21: 449-460.
- 7. Safdar H, Javed N, Khan SA, Arshad M. Reproduction potential of entomopathogenic nematodes on armyworm (*Spodoptera litura*). Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2018;50:1-4.
- 8. Poinar GO. Nematodes for biological control of insects, CRS Press, Boca Roton, Florida. 2018;289.
- 9. Grewal PS, Ehlers RU, Shapiro-Ilan DI, editors. Nematodes as biocontrol agents. CABI; 2005.
- 10. Koppenhöfer AM, Grewal PS. Compatibility and interactions with agrochemicals and other biocontrol agents. In: Grewal PS, Ehlers RU, Shapiro-Ilan DI. (Eds.), Nematodes as Biological Control Agents. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, 2005; 363-381.
- 11. Khan, Rashad Rasool, Muhammad Arshad, Asad Aslam, Muhammad Arshad. Additive interactions of some reduced-risk biocides and two entomopathogenic nematodes suggest implications for integrated control of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Scientific Reports 11, no. 1. 2021;1268.
- 12. Kondo E. Studies on the infectivity and propagation of entomogeneus nematode, *Steinernema* spp. (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) in the common cut worm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Bulletin of Faculty of Agriculture, Saga University. 1989;67(3): 88.
- 13. Radhakrishnan S, Shanmugam S. Bioefficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Bendi. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6:2314-2319.
- 14. Acharya R, Yu YS, Shim JK, Lee KY. Virulence of four entomopathogenic nematodes against the tobacco cutworm

Spodoptera litura Fabricius. Biological control. 2020;150:104348.

15. Manikandan, Karuppiah, Raman Gopalakrishnan, and Kaliyamoorthy Manikandan. Evaluation of biocontrolbased formulations against late leaf spot and rust in Groundnut. Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 2024;27(5):758-67.

> Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024 /v27i5838

16. Gesraha, Mohamed A., Amany R. Ebeid, Shahira S. Marei, Ola O. El-Fandary, Atef Abdel-Rahman Aly. Maximizing the role of the internal larval parasitoid, meteorus gyrator (Thunberg) in the open field as a biological control agent considering the effects of climatic changes. Asian Journal of Biology 2022;16(2) :11-20.

Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ajob/2022 /v16i2297

- 17. Nouh GM. Effect of temperature and soil moisture on the efficacy of indigenous and imported strains of the entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditis* sp. against the black cutworm, Agrotis ipsilon (Hufnagel) (Lepidoptera / Noctuidae). Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control. 2022;32(1):28.
- 18. Woodring JL, Kaya HK. Steinernematid and heterorhabditid nematodes: A handbook of biology and techniques. Southern cooperative series bulletin, USA. 1988;331.
- 19. Finney DJ. Probit analysis. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 1962; 490.
- 20. Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide.

Journal of Economic Entomology. 1925;18(2):265-7.

- 21. Cherry RH, Dusky JA. Contact Toxicities of Ten Insecticides to the Sugarcane Grub,

Ligyrus subtropicus (Coleoptera: *Ligyrus subtropicus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). Florida Entomologist. 1983:503-506.
- 22. Yan X, Shahid Arain M, Lin Y, Gu X, Zhang L, Li J, Han R. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against the tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Economic Entomology. 2019;113(1):64 - 72.
- 23. Banu JG, Jothi BD, Narkhedkar N. Susceptibility of different stages of cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to entomopathogenic nematodes. International Journal of Nematology. 2007; 17(1):41.
- 24. Kondo E, Ishibashi N. Infection efficiency of *Steinernema feltiae* (DD-136) to the common cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on the soil. Applied Entomology and Zoology. 1986: 21:561–571.
- 25. Acharya R, Hwang HS, Mostafiz MM, Yu YS, Lee KY. Susceptibility of various developmental stages of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, to entomopathogenic nematodes. Insects. 2020;11(12):868.
- 26. Henneberry TJ, Lindegren JE, Jech FL, Burke RA. Pink Boll worm (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), Cabbage Looper, and
Beet Army worm (Lepidoptera: Beet Army worm (Lepidoptera:
Noctuidae) Pupal Susceptibility to Noctuidae) Pupal Susceptibility to Steinernematid Nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae). Journal of Economic Entomology. 1995;88(4) :835.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/3617>*