

Journal of Scientific Research and Reports

Volume 30, Issue 10, Page 339-348, 2024; Article no.JSRR.123172 ISSN: 2320-0227

Development and Validation of a Knowledge Test for Assessing Dryland Farmers' Understanding of *Redgram* (*Cajanus cajan L.*) Cultivation

M. Chennamadhava ^{a++*}, P.L.R.J. Praveena ^{b#}, Suman Roy ^{a++}, P. Maheswara Reddy ^{c†} and J. Nikhil ^{a++}

^a Department of Agricultural Extension & Communication, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221055, India.

^b Department of Agricultural Extension, Agricultural College, Rajamahendravaram, ANGRAU, India. ^c Department of Agronomy, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Tirupati-517502, ANGRAU, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author PLRJP conceptualized, did the methodology reviewed and edited the manuscript. Authors MC, SR and JN did the formal analysis and investigation and wrote original draft preparation and wrote the manuscript. Author PMR did the resources. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i102460

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123172

> Received: 17/07/2024 Accepted: 19/09/2024 Published: 27/09/2024

Original Research Article

++ Research Scholars;

Cite as: Chennamadhava, M., P.L.R.J. Praveena, Suman Roy, P. Maheswara Reddy, and J. Nikhil. 2024. "Development and Validation of a Knowledge Test for Assessing Dryland Farmers' Understanding of Redgram (Cajanus Cajan L.) Cultivation". Journal of Scientific Research and Reports 30 (10):339-48. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i102460.

[#] Professor & Head;

[†] Principal Scientist;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: musanichennareddy1997@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

The study aimed to assess the knowledge levels of dryland farmers regarding critical interventions in redgram cultivation. Utilizing a structured and standardized knowledge test, the research sought to measure the understanding and practices among farmers in relation to key agronomic practices. The test was constructed with 45 items sourced from various agricultural recommendations and research publications, focusing on areas such as soil management, pest control, and crop rotation. Following a pre-test and item analysis, the final test comprised 28 questions in different formats (multiple choice, true/false, yes/no). The difficulty and discrimination indices were used to select items that were neither too simple nor overly challenging, ensuring that they could effectively differentiate between well-informed and less-informed respondents. The reliability of the test was confirmed with a high correlation coefficient of 0.83 using the split-half method. The content validity was also established, demonstrating that the test accurately measured the intended knowledge aspects. The results revealed that 60% of the farmers had a medium level of knowledge about redgram cultivation. 20.83% had high knowledge levels, while 19.17% possessed low knowledge. These findings highlight that a majority of farmers are moderately informed, likely due to their practical experience and exposure to agricultural information sources, especially in light of the frequent droughts that challenge their farming practices. Farmers with medium to high levels of knowledge are better equipped to implement effective agricultural interventions, which can help mitigate crop losses. The study underscores the need for targeted extension services and educational programs to further enhance the knowledge base of dryland farmers. By focusing on critical interventions, agricultural productivity and sustainability in dryland areas can be significantly improved, leading to better crop management and increased resilience against adverse climatic conditions.

Keywords: Difficulty index; discrimination index; reliability test; item analysis; critical interventions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Drylands are inhabited by around two billion people, with approximately 90% residing in developing countries. These regions are also home to a wide range of indigenous livestock breeds and plant species that are crucial for ensuring food security and supporting livelihoods. According to the FAO Global Agro-Ecological Zones (GAEZ) modelling system [1], drylands accounted for 43.20% of the global area in 2020, a figure expected to increase to 44.20% by 2050. Challenges such as demographic growth, which leads to unsustainable pressure on natural resources— including overgrazing, continuous deforestation for fuelwood, reduction of fallow periods, and over-pumping of waterare further compounded by issues like poverty, food insecurity, biodiversity loss, frequent droughts, and environmental degradation. All of these factors are being exacerbated by the impacts of climate change. India leads in dryland area. covering 86 million hectares, and contributes 44% of the country's food grain production. This includes significant outputs of coarse cereals, pulses, oilseeds, and cotton. Dryland agriculture is marked by diverse climates, frequent droughts, fragile landscapes, limited water poor soil. and resources.

challenges exacerbated by climate change. Nine states, including Andhra Pradesh, account for over 80% of India's drylands.

In Andhra Pradesh, 51.22% of cultivated land in 2019-20, primarily was drvland in Anantapuramu and Prakasam districts [2]. These areas suffer from frequent droughts and limited irrigation, with open wells and borewells as main water sources. The prevalence of poverty is high, with 32% of the population in these areas being chronically poor. Major crops include pulses like redaram and chickpea, oilseeds, cotton, and tobacco. Rainfall is low, averaging 871 mm in Prakasam and 552 mm in Anantapuramu. The proportion of land under rainfed cultivation for and maize has cotton increased over time, reducing areas for groundnut and sunflower [3].

Researchers have developed critical interventions to minimize drought impacts, and widespread adoption by farmers could reduce crop losses and enhance returns. These technological solutions are vital for the sustainable livelihoods of dryland farmers and contribute to the agrarian economy. Scientists, extension workers, and various agencies are key in promoting these interventions, but farmers need to adopt them timely. Despite efforts by researchers and specialists, the impact of these interventions on dryland farming remains limited. Given the increasing importance of dryland farming, there is a recognized need to assess the knowledge of dryland farmers regarding critical interventions in major staple crop *redgram* worldwide known as pigeon pea. The scientific name of *redgram* is *Cajanus cajan*, where *Cajanus* represents the genus and *cajan* the species. The name is derived from the Malay word 'katjang,' meaning legume, referring to the bean of the plant [4-9].

2. METHODOLOGY

The research was conducted in the dryland farming regions where *redgram* is predominantly cultivated. The study focused on a representative sample of 120 dryland farmers who were actively engaged in redgram cultivation. Respondents were selected using a stratified random sampling technique to ensure diverse representation from 8 villages located in prakasam district of Andhra Pradesh. The data collection for this research conducted in March 2021 was bv the corresponding author of this paper. A knowledge test was created to evaluate the level of awareness among farmers regarding critical interventions in dryland redgram cultivation. The study is divided into two sections: the development of the knowledge test and the assessment of farmers' knowledge levels concerning these interventions. The test consisted of multiple-choice questions, true or false statements, and fill-in-the-blank items. Statistical methods, including the difficulty index and discrimination index, were utilized to refine the items. After this screening process, 28 items were selected from an initial pool of 45. To determine the farmers' knowledge levels, the study employed statistical measures such as Difficulty Index, Discrimination Index, frequency, percentages, mean, and standard deviation. The data analysis was carried out using SPSS software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Construction and Standardization of Knowledge Test

The main intention of the knowledge test was to identify the extent of knowledge of dryland farmers about critical interventions in *redgram*. The details of the standardization of the items were as follows.

3.2 Collection and Framing of Knowledge Items

The content of the test was composed of items asked in the form of questions. The important factor considered for collecting the items for knowledge test was to determine and classify the object to be measured by taking care of the respondent's abilities. Accordingly, 45 items (Vide Appendix) for redgram were collected from different sources like ZREAC (Zonal Research Advisory and Extension Committee) recommendations of Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Vyavasaya Panchangam, Publications of CRIDA (Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture) like Improved Agronomic Practices for Rainfed Crops in India (AICRPDA), Websites of CRIDA and NICRA (National Innovations on Climate Resilient Agriculture) etc.

3.3 Selection of Items for Item Analysis

The selection of items was done on the basis of the following criteria:

- 1. Response to items should promote thinking rather than rote memorization.
- 2. They should differentiate the wellinformed respondents from the less informed and should have certain difficulty value.
- 3. The items included should cover all the areas of knowledge of dryland farmers about critical interventions in *redgram* cultivation.

3.4 Framing of Questions

By considering above criteria, items were selected for developing knowledge test after editing carefully. The items were then framed into objective form of questions namely multiple choice, true or false and yes or no and therefore, the assessment was objective and impersonal. The particulars on the type of questions were given in the Appendix.

3.5 Pre-testing

The items selected for the knowledge test were pretested separately by administering the items to 60 respondents selected randomly. Care was taken to see that 60 respondents selected for this purpose were outside the main sample of the study.

3.6 Item Analysis

The item analysis was carried out to yield two kinds of information viz., indices of 'Item difficulty' and 'Item discrimination'. The item difficulty index indicates the extent to which an item was difficult. Item discrimination index provides information on how well an item measures or discriminates a well-informed respondent.

To analyze the items undertaken for item analysis, each response for multiple choice, yes or no and true or false questions were given a score of 'one' for "correct" answer and 'zero' for incorrect response. After computing the individual total score for 60 respondents, they were arranged in descending order based on total score. These 60 respondents were then divided into 6 equal groups arranged in descending order of total score obtained by them. These groups were named as G1, G2, G3, G4, G5 and G6 with 10 respondents in each group. For item analysis the middle 2 groups G3 and G4 were eliminated keeping only 4 extreme groups, with high scores namely G1 and G2 and low scores namely G5 and G6. After getting the four extreme groups for item analysis, the responses for each of the items were subjected to calculate item difficulty index as shown below:

3.6.1 Item Difficulty Index (P)

The item difficulty index was worked out as the percentage of respondents answering an item correctly. The assumption of the item statistic of difficulty index was that, the difficulty is linearly related to the level of respondent's knowledge of dryland farmers about critical interventions in *redgram.* It was computed by following formula and presented in Appendix.

Item difficulty index (P) =

No.of respondents answered correctly Total No.of respondents × 100

The items with 'P' values ranging from 20 to 80 were considered for the final selection of knowledge test to avoid the extremely simple and difficult items which distort the required homogeneity and discrimination.

3.6.2 Discrimination Index (E^{1/3})

The item discrimination index $(E^{1/3})$, which indicates the level of discrimination between well

informed and poorly informed respondents, was computed using formula given below.

Discrimination index (E^{1/3}) =
$$\frac{(S1+S2)-(S5+S6)}{N/3}$$

Where,

S1, S2, S5 and S6 are the frequencies of correct answers in groups G1, G2, G5 and G6, respectively.

N is the total number of respondents in the sample selected for the item analysis *i.e.*, 60. The items with $E^{1/3}$ values ranging from 0.20 to 0.80 were selected for the final knowledge test.

3.7 Total Items Selected

Out of 45 questions selected, 28 items were finally selected for knowledge test on *redgram* crop based on the following criteria.

- 1. Items with difficulty level indices ranging from 20 to 80.
- 2. Items with discrimination indices ranging from 0.20 to 0.80.

All important components of the critical interventions have been covered. The question items were prepared in such a way that no important component has been left out.

The finally selected 28 knowledge items of *redgram* comprised of four types of questions *viz.*, multiple choice (8), fill in the blanks (9), yes or no and true or false (11) and finally selected.

3.8 Reliability of the Test

Reliability of the items was tested by split half method. The scores obtained by odd number of respondents were taken on one set and the scores of even number of respondents as the second set of values for calculating the correlation coefficient. The correlation coefficient was highly significant (r = 0.83) indicating a high degree of dependability of the instrument for measuring knowledge of the respondents.

3.9 Validity of the Test

Knowledge test developed on dryland critical interventions in *redgram* was subjected to content validity.

S. No.	Freque	encies of	correct a	answers	Difficulty index	Discrimination index
	in four extreme groups			ups	-	
1. *	10	10	8	6	68.33	0.30
2. *	8	7	4	0	41.67	0.55
3.	10	10	10	5	83.33	0.25
4.	2	1	0	0	10.00	0.15
	2					
5.	8	3	1	4	25.00	0.30
6.	2	3	3	5	33.33	0.05
7. *	10	10	3	1	65.00	0.80
8. *	10	9	7	4	73.33	0.40
9. *	10	7	1	0	38.33	0.80
10.	10	10	7	8	90.00	0.25
11. *	10	10	7	7	68.33	0.30
12. *	10	10	5	5	73.33	0.50
13. *	10	10	5	2	65.00	0.65
			5	2 3		
14. 45 *	6	3			38.33	0.05
15. *	8	6	0	1	28.33	0.65
16.	10	10	10	9	88.33	0.05
17. *	10	10	4	3	70.00	0.65
18.	10	9	8	8	90.00	0.15
19. *	8	6	5	3	53.33	0.30
20.	10	10	9	6	88.33	0.25
21. *	4	4	2	0	20.00	0.30
22.	10	10	9	7	90.00	0.20
22. 23. *			1		28.33	0.65
	8	6		0		
Z 1.	9	6	1	0	30.00	0.70
25. *	10	9	2	1	60.00	0.80
26. *	10	10	5	5	73.33	0.50
27.	10	10	9	9	88.33	0.10
28.	7	5	6	6	53.33	0.00
29. *	10	9	2	4	50.00	0.80
30.	6	1	0	0	15.00	0.35
31. *	8	8	Õ	Õ	45.00	0.80
32.	10	10	10	7	88.33	0.15
32. 33. *						
	10	10	4	1	63.33	0.75
01.	7	4	2	1	30.00	0.40
35. *	8	6	1	0	28.33	0.65
36. *	9	6	1	0	30.00	0.70
37.	4	1	0	1	10.00	0.20
38. *	10	10	5	1	65.00	0.70
39. *	8	8	2	2	50.00	0.60
40. *	10	9	3	0	63.33	0.80
41.	10	10	10	4	90.00	0.30
42. *	4	4	2	1	20.00	0.25
43.	10	8	10	8	90.00	0.00
44. *	10	9	1	2	63.33	0.80
45. *	8	4	2	2	30.00	0.40

Table 1. Difficulty Index and Discrimination Index for knowledge test items (redgram)

List 1. Category of respondent

S. No.	Category	Score
1.	Low level of knowledge	Below mean – S.D.
2.	Medium level of knowledge	Between mean \pm S.D.
3.	High level of knowledge	Above mean + S.D.

S. No.	Category	Redgram	Redgram		
		f	%		
1.	Low	23	19.17		
2.	Medium	72	60.00		
3.	High	25	20.83		
Total		120	100		
Mean		16.16			
S.D.		5.95			

Table 2. Distribution of dryland farmers according to their level of knowledge on critical
interventions in <i>redgram</i> (n=120)

Values in parentheses represent the significance level (sig.) ** Sig. at 5% level; f: Frequency; %: Percentage

The content validity of the knowledge test was derived from a long list of test items representing the whole universe of critical interventions collected from various sources as discussed earlier and includes materials from literature, expert's opinion, findings of past work and discussion with extensionists of research stations. It was assumed that the score obtained by administering knowledge test of this study measures what was intended to measure. Thus, knowledge test developed in the present study measures the knowledge of the dryland farmers about critical interventions in *redgram* crops showed a greater degree of reliability and validity indicating that the test items were valid.

3.10 Administration of the Test

Each item was read out to the respondents by the investigator and response was recorded with a score of one for correct answer and zero for wrong answer. The possible maximum and minimum scores that an individual respondent would get were 28 and 0 for knowledge test.

3.11 Categorization of the Respondents

After arriving knowledge scores, the respondents were grouped into three categories based on mean and standard deviation.

3.12 Level of Knowledge of Dryland Farmers on Critical Interventions in Redgram

The distribution of respondents according to their level of knowledge on critical interventions in *redgram* is depicted in Table 2. The data showed that three fifth (60.00%) of the respondents had medium level of knowledge on critical interventions in *redgram*, followed by high (20.83%) and low (19.17%) levels of knowledge.

It is clear from the above findings that most of the farmers had medium to high levels of knowledge on critical interventions of redgram. Many of the farmers in the study area were well experienced in farming and had medium level of extension contact. Moreover, the dryland farmers were often exposed to droughts and experienced crop losses due to which they invariably depend on different information sources for aainina knowledge on critical interventions so as to minimize the crop losses. Hence, many of the farmers had medium to high level of knowledge. Similar findings were reported by Tidke et al. [10], and Kumar [11].

4. CONCLUSION

These findings highlight the importance of enhancing farmers' knowledge through targeted extension services and educational programs. By emphasizing critical interventions such as soil management, pest control, and crop rotation, these programs can equip farmers with the skills needed to enhance agricultural productivity and sustainability in dryland areas, while also addressing the socio-economic conditions of the farmers like the size of the farms, the availability of family labour, the lack of capital, and so on. This approach will also help build resilience against adverse climatic conditions, ultimately leading to better crop management and reduced crop losses. The study's results serve as a valuable resource for policymakers, agricultural extension workers, and educators to design effective strategies for knowledge dissemination and capacity building among dryland farmers.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I gratefully thank the Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University for financial assistance during course the course of study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. FAO. Towards a global programme on sustainable dryland agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome; 2020.
- 2. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Andhra Pradesh. Agricultural Statistics at a glance. 2020;8-16.
- 3. District Planning Office, Prakasam. District Hand Book of Statistics; 2018.
- Bloom BS, Engelhardt M, Frust E, Hill W, Rathwal DR. Taxonomy of educational objectives, Vol. 1: The cognitive domain, Orient Longmans, New York; 1958.
- 5. Commission on inclusive and sustainable agricultural development of Andhra

Pradesh. Revitalizing rainfed agriculture of Andhra Pradesh; 2016.

- 6. FAO. Agriculture: towards 2015/30. Technical Interim Report. Economic and Social Dept., FAO, Rome; 2000.
- 7. Available:http://www.nicraicar.in/nicrarevised/
- 8. Available:https://www.icar-crida.res.in/
- KPR Vittal, HP Singh, G Ravindra Chary, GR Maruthi Sankar, YG Prasad, M Srinivasa Rao, JS Samra and Gurbachan Singh. Improved Agronomic Practices for Dryland Crops in India. All India Coordinated Research Project for Dryland Agriculture, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Hyderabad 500 059; 2003.
- 10. Tidke GR, Rathod MK, Mandve RP. Knowledge and adoption of farmers about the management of pod borer complex in pigeon pea. International Journal of Extension Education. 2012;8:71-75.
- Kumar PP. A study on the knowledge and adoption of the recommended production technologies by the redgram growers of Prakasam district of Andhra Pradesh. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis. Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh; 2019.

APPENDIX

Knowledge Level of Dryland Farmers on Critical Interventions in Redgram

The following statements are developed for conduct of pre-test for selecting the final statements for the knowledge test.

A. State True/False and Yes/No from the following statements:

1.	Deep summer ploughing will help in in-situ moisture conservation	(True/False)		
2.	Contour bunding reduces soil erosion and conserves soil	(True/False)		
3.	Redgram is not grown as alternative crop in the areas affected by drought	(True/False)		
4.	 Redgram is a quantitative short-day plant i.e., the onset of flowering is hastened as day length shortens (True/False) 			
5.	Short duration varieties like LRG-52, PRG-158 are recommended un monsoon	der delayed (True/False)		
6.	Redgram variety that is LRG-41 is resistant to Helicoverpa	(True/False)		
7.	7. The appropriate time of sowing for <i>kharif redgram</i> is June-August (True/False)			
8.	3. Intercropping will suppress the weed growth (True/False)			
9.	9. Maintaining the optimum and healthy crop stand (26,666 plants / acre) in <i>redgram</i> helps in competing with weeds at a critical growth stages of weed competition. (True/False)			
10.	10. Wider spacing of <i>redgram</i> causes weeds problem (True/False)			
11.	11. Field must be kept weed free up to 30-50 days after sowing (True/False)			
12.	12. Inter cultivation with gorru after 20-25 days is of no help in weed management (Yes/No)			
13.	13. Minimum two weedings are required in the crop season (True/False)			
14.	Intensity of dominant weeds can be controlled by spraying of imazethapyr	(True/False)		
 Spraying of 20 grams of potassium nitrate or 10 grams of urea in one litre of water in case of prevailing drought is essential (True/False) 				
16	Sheep penning to increase nutrient status in rainfed soils	(True/False)		
17. Irrigation at flower bud initiation and pod filling stages helps in securing more yields (True/False)				
18.	Kharif redgram requires pre-sowing irrigation	(True/False)		
B. Select correct answer from the given alternatives				

19. Soil which is not suitable for *redgram* cultivation is

a) Saline soils	b) Alkaline soils
c) Water logged soils	d) All of these

Chennamadhava et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 339-348, 2024; Article no.JSRR.123172

20. Duration of kharif rede	Duration of <i>kharif redgram</i> crop		
a) 120-140 days c) 160-180 days	b) 140-160 days d) 180-200 days		
21. Short duration variety	of redgram		
a) PRG-158 c) LRG-52	b) PRG-17 d) All of these		
22. Selected seed of <i>redg</i>	<i>ram</i> should be		
a. Free from pest and diseas		from any inert matter the above	
23. The <i>redgram</i> variety s	uitable for <i>kharif</i> se	eason in Prakasam district	
a. PRG-158 c. LRG-52	b. PRG- d. All of	-	
24. Recommended chemi	cal used for seed t	reatment is	
a) Thiram @1.5-2g/1kg of so c) Trichoderma viride @ 4g/		b) Carbendazim@2g/1kg of seedd) All of the above	
25. Recommended seed rate for <i>kharif redgram</i> is			
a. 2-3 Kg/Acre c. 6-8 Kg/Acre		b. 4-5 Kg/Acre d. 8-9 Kg/Acre	
26. Recommended spacing for rabi redgram			
a. 45-90 cm × 10 cm c. 150 cm × 20 cm	b. 45-90 cm × 2 d. 180 c	0 cm m × 20 cm	
27. Recommended N for	. Recommended N for rainfed redgram in kg/ha		
a. 20 c. 100	b. 50 d. 150		
28. Recommended P ₂ O ₅ f	28. Recommended P_2O_5 for rainfed <i>redgram</i> in kg/ha		
a. 50 c. 125	b. 100 d. 150		
29. Water retention in the soil can be improved by following one of these practices			
a. Mulching b. Deep ploughing c. Frequent inter-cultivation d. All of these			
30. Pre emergence problem of annual grasses can be controlled by spraying of			
a. Pendimethalin 30 % EC @ 1-1.5 l/acre b. Alachlor 50 % EC 1l/acre d. a or b			

Chennamadhava et al.; J. Sci. Res. Rep., vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 339-348, 2024; Article no.JSRR.123172

31. Name the important pest of *redgram* crop

a. Pod borer	b. Weevils
c. Leaf roller	d. Aphids and Jassids

32. Gram pod borer causes more damage to redgram crop at

a. Flower bud initiation	b. Flowering
c. Pod development	d. All of these

C. Fill in the blanks

33. Primary tillage for *kharif redgram* is done during ______

34. Method of sowing in *redgram_____*

35. Redgram variety LRG-30 resistant to _____

36. Variety that is tolerant to terminal moisture stress _____

37. Redgram variety that is suitable for growing on red light soils______

38. Seed treatment in *Redgram* with ______ culture helps in increasing yield.

39. Normal sowing window of rainfed redgram during kharif _____

40. Intercropping of *redgram* with ground nut in _____ ratio

41. Any two crops grown along with redgram as intercrops_____

42. Critical growth stages in redgram

43. Can you name any one of micro irrigation systems

44. Can you name any one of the trade name of anti-transpirants _____

45. Under rainfed conditions, *redgram* crop is mostly affected with ______ disease.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/123172